



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,302	11/06/2003	Hans Maag	R0151B-REG	8005

24372 7590 03/15/2006

ROCHE PALO ALTO LLC
PATENT LAW DEPT. M/S A2-250
3431 HILLVIEW AVENUE
PALO ALTO, CA 94304

EXAMINER

HABTE, KAHSAY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1624

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/702,302

Applicant(s)

MAAG ET AL.

Examiner

Kahsay Habte

Art Unit

1624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-16,33-43 and 47-51 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-16,33-43 and 47-50 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 51 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/6/03</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-3, 5-16, 33-43 and 47-51 are pending in this application.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed 02/01/2006 in response to the previous Office Action (11/04/2005) is acknowledged. Applicants overcome the enablement rejection (item 3) by canceling claim 44, but applicants added a new claim 51 with an enablement issue. Note that applicants cancelled claim 44 that deals with a method of treating "a memory disorders or Alzheimer's disease", but added a new claim 51 that recites "a method for enhancing cognitive memory" that is even broader in scope.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 51 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In claim 51, it is recited a method for enhancing cognitive memory, but the specification is not enabled for such a scope.

A number of factors are relevant to whether undue experimentation would be required to practice the claimed invention, including “(1) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.” In re Wands, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

(1). Breadth of Claims: Claim 51 is directed a method for enhancing cognitive memory. According to page 1 (line 18) and page 33 (line 22) of the specification, a method of treating Alzheimer’s disease is alternatively used by applicants as “enhancement of cognitive memory”. Thus, a method for enhancing cognitive memory is the same as a method of treating Alzheimer’s disease or the treatment of diseases that cause memory disorders. To enhance cognitive memory, one has to treat Alzheimer’s disease or other memory disorders that deal with cognition.

a. Scope of use - The scope of use that applicants intend to claim may well be very broad. Memory disorders are conditions in which memory are disturbed. Memory disorders are extremely broad in nature. It includes cognitive disorders. Memory disorders can be organic or functional. Organic causes include damage to the brain, through trauma or disease, or use of certain (generally sedative) drugs. Functional causes are psychological factors, such as defense mechanisms. Hysterical post-traumatic amnesia is an example of this. The main cause of memory loss or disorder is

Art Unit: 1624

a form of dementia (a loss in the brain functions responsible for thinking) caused by Alzheimer's disease. This progressive, degenerative disease of the brain results from the death of brain cells, causing a loss of thinking and remembering abilities. Other conditions, such as very small strokes in the brain, can cause memory loss. Note that there are over one hundred types of disorders, which can cause dementia.

Cognitive Disorders – are disorders in a brain that prevents someone from thinking well, from solving problems, or from storing information. Three main types of cognitive disorders are: Delirium, Dementia, and Amnesia.

Delirium - is a severe disturbance in consciousness and thought that is not better accounted for by dementia. Delirium is likely to have a sudden onset, be variable, and have a better chance of remission than dementia. Delirium involves disorientation and memory loss, along with distorted consciousness and cognitive deficits. The victim may not know what time it is, or where she or he is, or be able to speak coherently. Short-term memory loss is almost always noted. The patient is usually agitated, with the agitation worse at night; if in the hospital, the patient may fight, break things or tear out intravenous tubes, and have to be restrained. The onset of delirium is typically fairly sudden, taking a few hours to a few days, and delirium rarely lasts for more than a month; unfortunately, one reason for this is that the patient may die. Especially for this reason, the occurrence of delirium is a clear medical emergency calling for prompt treatment. One cause of delirium is substance intoxication via overdoses of drugs or exposure to toxins, or withdrawal from drugs. Another is various medical conditions,

Art Unit: 1624

brain trauma caused by an accident or stroke, for example. The type of delirium is determined by what caused it; for example, two types are substance intoxication delirium and delirium caused by a medical condition.

If intoxication or treatable medical problems are detected and treated, the delirium is probably reversible. If treatment is not possible, permanent brain damage is either present or likely to develop, and the delirium may progress to dementia.

Delirium can be subcategorized into one of the following depending on the causes:

- From substance intoxication

- From withdrawal

- From multiple causes

Other cognitive disorders include autism, ADHD, schizophrenia, and other forms of psychosis.

Dementia, like delirium, involves cognitive deficits, but the deficits are different. One universal characteristic of dementia is short-term memory loss. It may be accompanied by inability to find words (aphasia), to recognize objects (agnosia), or to carry out a sequence of motor activities (apraxia), despite the ability to make the individual movements. The onset of dementia tends to be more gradual than the onset of delirium, and may go unnoticed for long periods. The person with dementia may behave quite inappropriately, for example by telling dirty jokes to strangers or exposing genitalia. Violent behavior, although less common than in cases of delirium, sometimes

Art Unit: 1624

occurs. In early cases of dementia, when the individual is aware of his or her deteriorating condition but still able to execute plans, suicide is a possibility.

Just as in delirium and many other disorders, the subtypes of dementia are classified according to their causes. An increasingly common type of dementia is dementia of the Alzheimer's type; estimates place the percentage of people over 65 in the United States with Alzheimer's at 2 to 4 percent.

Despite the fact that many causes of dementia are age-related, one should not assume that dementia is a normal consequence of aging. Although little can be done to prevent or ameliorate dementia in many cases, a medical examination is necessary in order to evaluate causes and possible treatments. One study of cases of dementia at three centers showed that 26% of the cases were treatable. The most common treatable cases are those with chronic drug toxicity, major depression, normal pressure hydrocephalus, or operable brain masses.

Future research may uncover, one type at a time, ways to prevent or treat the dementias; some drugs already show promise in arresting the progress of Alzheimer's disease. Other types of dementia include: Alzheimer's Disease, Creutzfeldt-Jacob Disease, HIV Dementia, Pick's Disease, Vascular Dementia, Substance-Induced Persisting Dementia, Dementias that can arise from head trauma, Huntington's Disease, Parkinson's disease.

Amnesia - is loss of memory; it is retrograde if memories before a fixed event are lost, and anterograde if memories after a fixed event are lost. An individual may have both kinds of amnesia.

Amnesias, as the name indicates, are characterized by memory losses without sufficient cognitive deficits to indicate a diagnosis of delirium or dementia, and can be subcategorized into those: Caused by medical conditions, Caused by substance abuse, etc.

As shown above, memory disorders are very broad in nature and the disorders also vary one from the other.

In claim 51, a method of treating Alzheimer's disease (enhancement of cognitive memory) is recited. The central characteristic of Alzheimer's disease is the deficiency in the level of the neurotransmitter Acetylcholine that plays an important role in memory or it is believed that too much stimulation of nerve cells by glutamate may be responsible for the degeneration of nerves that occur in Alzheimer's disease. Like other neurotransmitters, glutamate is produced and released by nerve cells in the brain. The released glutamate then travels to nearby nerve cells where it attaches to a receptor on the surface of the cells called the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor. Drugs such as memantine blocks the receptor and thereby decreases the effects of glutamate. It is thought that by blocking the NMDA receptor and the effects of glutamate, memantine may protect nerve cells from excess stimulation by glutamate.

Art Unit: 1624

b. Scope of Compounds - The scope of the compounds is also broad. It is apparent that hundreds of millions of combinations of compounds can be created from the definitions, owing especially to broad scope of R¹-R⁹, X, Z, p and q.

(2). Direction of Guidance: Applicants indicate that 5-HT₂ selective and 5-HT₆ selective ligands have been identified as potentially useful in the treatment of certain CNS disorders such as Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, anxiety, depression, manic depression, psychoses, epilepsy, obsessive compulsive disorders, mood disorders, migraine etc. The amount of direction or guidance is minimal. There is no guidance for the treatment of memory disorders or Alzheimer's disease that are related or affected by 5-HT₂ or 5-HT₆ receptors. Dosage (1-500 mg (500 fold) is generic to the disorders - same dosage for all disorders.

(3). State of Prior Art: There is no evidence of record that compounds structurally similar to these benzomorpholine derivatives recited in claim 1 as 5-HT ligands or indeed are in use for enhancement of cognitive memory as recited in claim 51 that includes the treatment of memory disorders or Alzheimer's disease.

(4). Working Examples: At page 55 of the specification, an example of *in vitro* radioligand binding studies of Compound of Formula I was determined, but there is no way to convert this data into specific useful knowledge, especially in view of the difficult nature of some of these disorders. There is no link between the K_i values and memory

Art Unit: 1624

disorders or Alzheimer's disease. Applicants' compounds were tested and found to be selective 5-HT₆ antagonists according to page 56.

(5). Nature of the Invention and Predictability: The invention is directed to treating memory disorders that are related or affected by 5-HT receptors. It is well established that "the scope of enablement varies inversely with the degree of unpredictability of the factors involved," and physiological activity is generally considered to be an unpredictable factor. See *In re Fisher*, 427 F.2d 833, 839, 166 USPQ 18, 24 (CCPA 1970). Memory disorders are especially unpredictable due to their complex nature. The treatment of one type of memory disorder could not be necessarily the same for the other type.

(6). The Relative Skill of Those in the Art: The relative skill is extremely very low. To this day, there is no magic bullet that can enhance cognitive memory that includes the treatment of memory disorders. Many memory disorders have no treatment at all, e.g. dementia or amnesia.

Note that applicants' compounds are indicated as 5-HT receptors, but the claims are not limited to this. The radioligand binding test indicates that the compounds are 5-HT₆ antagonists. According to a review article by Russell MG and Dias R. (Curr. Top. Med. Chem, 2002 June; 2(6):643-54), "the study for the possible role of 5-HT(6) receptor antagonists in the treatment of learning and memory disorders has stimulated

Art Unit: 1624

significant recent work in this area", indicating that the study is at its early stage.

According to the article (page 652, first paragraph), it has been concluded: "these data are open to very different interpretations which directly oppose the proposed role for 5-HT6 receptor antagonists as potential enhancers." The above statement surely contradicts the use of applicants' compounds for enhancement of cognitive memory that includes the treatment of memory disorders or Alzheimer's disease. This fundamental, unresolved contradiction shows how low is skill level in this art.

According to said article (page 650, last paragraph), it has been cited that "the current lack of full data supporting a role for 5-HT6 receptor antagonists in either behavioral inflexibility or in cognition enhancement *per se*, ...Certainly, the findings from both water maze studies are interesting and follow-up studies would be recommended." The article clearly shows that supporting data is needed for the role of 5-HT6 receptors as antagonists in behavioral inflexibility or in cognition enhancement, and that basic understanding is still lacking.

According to the article (see 648, second column last paragraph): "there are a number of potential problems regarding the behavioral findings described above. One concern is the relatively poor brain penetration ...". The cited reference in the conclusion (page 652) points out that additional studies are required to both replicate and further investigate the functional role of the 5-HT6 receptor. In fact the authors concluded: "Indeed, to date, findings from *in vivo* studies which have attempted to shed light on 5-HT6 receptor function are ambiguous and somewhat controversial." It is clear from the article that the study is at its early stage as of June 2002 (after the filing date of

Art Unit: 1624

the instant case). It certainly requires undue experimentation to determine which central nervous system disorders are related or affected by the 5-HT₆ receptor given how little is actually known about the function of 5-HT₆ receptor. Despite the discrepancies noted on the article in regard to the research, applicants intend to claim the treatment of memory disorders or Alzheimer's disease. It is up to applicants to provide a publication that shows that their compounds can act as agonists or antagonists to treat memory disorders that are related or affected by 5-HT receptors especially by 5-HT₆ receptor as indicated in the working example.

In regard to Alzheimer's disease, Alzheimer's disease can be treated by Acetylcholinesterase inhibitors that reduce the depletion of acetylcholine or by drugs that inhibit NMDA receptor. The skill level in the art is so low that the only treatments available to this day are drugs that inhibit Acetylcholinesterase or drugs that inhibit NMDA receptor that decreases the effects of glutamate. Applicants' compounds do not do this. Thus, the enablement rejection is proper.

(7). The Quantity of Experimentation Necessary: Immense, because of points (1), (2) and (6).

MPEP 2164.01(a) states, "A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the above factors, the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation. *In re Wright*,

Art Unit: 1624

999 F.2d 1557,1562, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993)." That conclusion is clearly justified here.

Response to arguments

Applicant's argument filed 02/01/2006 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Applicants have cancelled claim 44 and added claim 51 to overcome the enablement rejection raised in previous Office Action (see item 3). Claim 51 is drawn to a method for enhancing cognitive memory. According to page 1 (line 18) and page 33 (line 22) of the specification, a method of treating Alzheimer's disease is alternatively used by applicants as "enhancement of cognitive memory". Thus, a method for enhancing cognitive memory is the same as a method of treating Alzheimer's disease or the treatment of diseases that cause memory disorders e.g. dementia, amnesia, autism, ADHD, schizophrenia. To enhance cognitive memory, one has to treat the diseases that cause memory disorders such as Alzheimer's disease, memory disorders or cognitive disorders such as autism, ADHD, psychosis, dementia, for which there is no treatment to this day e.g. autism.

Applicants argue, "[e]nhancement of cognitive memory in rats by administration of 5-HT6 antagonists has been shown by Woolley et al., Neuropharmacology 41, 210-219 (2001). Rogers et al., Psychopharmacology 158, 114-119 (2001), and Meneses et al., Drug News Perspect. 14(7), 396-400 (2001). As previously noted by Applicants, the use of 5-HT6 antagonists for memory enhancement is being investigated in the clinic by

Art Unit: 1624

major pharmaceutical companies, including Glaxo SmithKline (GSK 742457), Aventis (M 100907B) and Saegis (SGS518). The completion of phase IIa clinical trials for the SGS518 5-HT₆ antagonist was recently (December 15 2005) announced in a press release by Saegis (copy submitted herewith). This clinical trial demonstrated cognition enhancement in 5-HT₆-dosed humans as measured by a computerized BACS test.” The examiner disagrees with applicants. In regard to Saegis (SGS518), the paper deals with the objective of Phase IIa study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of oral doses of SGS518 compared to placebo when given to schizophrenia patients stable on antipsychotic medication. This is ongoing trial that is not published in scientific papers. It is also noted that the study focuses on schizophrenia patients that is very narrower from what is claimed by applicants. None of the references link the treatment of 5-HT₆ receptor to the method of enhancing cognitive memory in patients suffering from Alzheimer's disease, memory disorders, schizophrenia, autism, ADHD, etc.

Applicants are claiming a method of for enhancing cognitive memory that is caused by any disorder including Alzheimer's disease, memory disorders in general, cognitive disorders such as schizophrenia, autism, ADHD, other forms of psychosis etc. There is no support for this in the specification. It is recommended that applicants delete this claim to overcome this rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1624

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahsay Habte, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0667. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9.00AM- 5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson (Acting SPE) can be reached at (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Kahsay Habte
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1624

KH
March 10, 2006